Seventh-day Adventist *Sabbath School Bible Study Guide* for the Fourth Quarter of 2026

I don't intend to continue reviewing the Seventh-day Adventist *Sabbath School Bible Study Guide* (SSBSG) after this quarter. I've been doing reviews of the SSBSG for a few years and if you will read the book's worth of reviews I've already done you should learn that you're better off getting your Bible knowledge elsewhere. I had thought that if I did reviews of the SSBSG someone would see the necessity of cleaning it up but that didn't happen.

Unnamed persons from The Ellen G. White Estate were responsible for the contents of this quarterly. In it I have thus far found quotations from eight different "the Bible" all of which except one were laid on unsuspecting Christendom after Sister White died and three of those are bona fide Roman Catholic bibles. ALL of them except one contradict themselves, Seventh-day Adventist doctrine and the writings of Sister White.

All of the bibles are advertised in capitalized italics except one, the King James Version (KJV). Here is a list of those "the Bible" with the number of times each was advertised. The number could change as I always find more on my second or third pass through the quarterly. I did not count quotations that could have come from more than one bible that had no version indicated or any that were part of a quotation.

Christian Standard Bible (CSB) quotations: 1
English Standard Version (ESV) quotations: 26
Good News Translation (GNT) quotations: 1
New American Standard Bible (NASB) quotations: 3
New International Version (NIV) quotations: 7
New King James Version (NKJV) quotations: 123
The Message (TM) quotations: 1
King James Version (KJV) quotations: 7
Revised Standard Version (RSV): 1

The ESV, GNT, RSV and TM are accepted Roman Catholic bibles.

The NKJV was used for eleven of the memory texts and the NASB was used for two of them.

Page numbers are as in the standard edition except as noted.

LESSON 1. The Creator Speaks (9/26/26 – 10/2/26)

In the introduction to this quarterly it says, "In addition, 'the spirit of prophecy' is one of two distinguishing marks of God's end-time remnant church *(Rev. 12:17, Rev. 19:10)*.

On the first page of Lesson 1 "the Bible" is mentioned. Since "the Bible" is singular, it puts all eight "the Bible" used on an equal basis.

"And the dragon was wroth with the woman, and went to make war with the remnant of her seed, which keep the commandments of God, and have the testimony of Jesus Christ." Revelation 12:17 (KJV).

"And the dragon was enraged with the woman, and he went to make war with the rest of her offspring, who keep the commandments of God and have the testimony of Jesus Christ." Revelation 12:17 (NKJV).

"Then the dragon was enraged at the woman and went off to wage war against the rest of her offspring—those who keep God's commands and hold fast their testimony about Jesus." Revelation 12:17 (NIV).

"Then the dragon became furious with the woman and went off to make war on the rest of her offspring, on those who keep the commandments of God and hold to the testimony of Jesus. And he stood on the sand of the sea." Revelation 12:17 (ESV).

"So the dragon was enraged with the woman, and went off to make war with the rest of her children, who keep the commandments of God and hold to the testimony of Jesus." Revelation 12:17 (NASB).

"The dragon was furious with the woman and went off to fight against the rest of her descendants, all those who obey God's commandments and are faithful to the truth revealed by Jesus." Revelation 12:17 (GNT).

"Helpless with rage, the Dragon raged at the Woman, then went off to make war with the rest of her children, the children who keep God's commands and hold firm to the witness of Jesus." Revelation 12:17 (TM).

"So the dragon was furious with the woman and went off to wage war against the rest of her offspring-those who keep the commands of God and hold firmly to the testimony about Jesus." Revelation 12:17 (CSB).

"Then the dragon was angry with the woman, and went off to make war on the rest of her offspring, on those who keep the commandments of God and bear testimony to Jesus. And he stood on the sand of the sea." Revelation 12:17 (RSV).

Seventh-day Adventist ministers love to talk about the Seventh-day Adventist Church being "the remnant church" when in many cases the "the Bible" they usually use doesn't have that word in the only verse that would indicate Seventh-day Adventists compose "the remnant church." How many of the above "the Bible" contain "remnant" in that verse?

The other verse that needs to go with Revelation 12:17 to show that the "remnant church" HAS the "spirit of prophecy" is Revelation 19:10.

"And I fell at his feet to worship him. And he said unto me, See thou do it not: I am thy fellowservant, and of thy brethren that have the testimony of Jesus: worship God: for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy." Revelation 19:10 (KJV).

"And I fell at his feet to worship him. But he said to me, 'See that you do not do that! I am your fellow servant, and of your brethren who have the testimony of Jesus. Worship God! For the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy.'" Revelation 19:10 (NKJV).

"At this I fell at his feet to worship him. But he said to me, 'Don't do that! I am a fellow servant with you and with your brothers and sisters who hold to the testimony of Jesus. Worship God! For it is the Spirit of prophecy who bears testimony to Jesus.'" Revelation 19:10 (NIV).

"Then I fell down at his feet to worship him, but he said to me, 'You must not do that! I am a fellow servant with you and your brothers who hold to the testimony of Jesus. Worship God.' For the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy." Revelation 19:10 (ESV).

"Then I fell at his feet to worship him. But he said to me, 'Do not do that; I am a fellow servant of yours and your brothers and sisters who hold the testimony of Jesus; worship God! For the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy.'" Revelation 19:10 (NASB).

"I fell down at his feet to worship him, but he said to me, 'Don't do it! I am a servant together with you and with other believers, all those who hold to the truth that Jesus revealed. Worship God!' For the truth that Jesus revealed is what inspires the prophets." Revelation 19:10 (GNT).

"I fell at his feet to worship him, but he wouldn't let me. 'Don't do that,' he said. 'I'm a servant just like you, and like your brothers and sisters who hold to the witness of Jesus. The witness of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy.'" Revelation 19:10 (TM).

"Then I fell at his feet to worship him, but he said to me, 'Don't do that! I am a fellow servant with you and your brothers and sisters who hold firmly to the testimony of Jesus. Worship God, because the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy.'" Revelation 19:10 (CSB).

"Then I fell down at his feet to worship him, but he said to me, "You must not do that! I am a fellow servant with you and your brethren who hold the testimony of Jesus. Worship God." For the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy." Revelation 19:10 (RSV).

Of those "the Bible," only the KJV and the NKJV say the church spoken of in Revelation 12:17 "has" the spirit of prophecy and that the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy. So, what do you do? Do you go with what the majority of the "the Bible" say? Have we become that stupid? We have The Ellen G. White Estate that is supposed to be promoting the legacy of the Elijah the prophet of the last days that has become so clueless that they use bibles that are self-contradictory and contradict Seventh-day Adventist doctrine. Here is the teaching they have been following that has made them so stupid.

The following quotation is from *Catholicism and Fundamentalism* by Karl Keating published by the Ignatius Press. You remember Ignatius Loyola the founder of the Jesuits? It has the *nihil*

obstat of "Rev. Msgr. Joseph Pollard, S.T.D. Censor Librorum" (that would be book censor) and the *imprimatur* of "+ Most Reverend Roger Hahoney Archbishop of Los Angeles." On the back cover, John A. Hardon, S.J. (that would be the Society of Jesus or the Jesuits) says, "A masterpiece of Catholic apologetics. Keating gives a solid defense of Catholicism against the critical attacks of fundamentalists, done with patient charity that is bound to convince even the most prejudiced critic of Roman Catholicism."

> "It might be wise to purchase several translations even if you know which you will use for your regular reading. The reason? To compare renderings. If you know no Greek, Hebrew, or Latin, the best way to get a feel for the meaning of a verse is to see how different translations phrase it." *Catholicism and Fundamentalism* page 305.

I guess the "the Bible" of the authors of this SSBSG are solidly against any "the Bible" definition of a "remnant" church or of that mythical church actually **having** the spirit of prophecy. Here's another opinion.

> "They come to me, those that are copying my writings, and say, 'Now here is the better revised words, and I think I will put that in.' Don't you change one word, not a word. The revised edition we do not need at all. We have got the word that Christ has spoken Himself and given us. And don't you in my writings change a word for any revised edition. There will be revised editions, plenty of them, just before the close of this earth's history, and I want all my workers to understand, and I have got quite a number of them. I want them to understand that they are never to take the revised word, and put it in the place of the plain, simple words just as they are. They think they are improving them, but how do they know but that they may switch off on an idea, and give it less importance than Christ means them to have." Manuscript 188, 1907.

The "revised edition" spoken of was the Revised Version (RV) which was released after the KJV and before such things as the Revised Standard Version (RSV). In saying "We have got the word that Christ has spoken Himself and given us, Ellen White was referring to the KJV. Think **real** hard about that.

LESSON 2. The Call *of a* Prophet (10/3/26 – 10/9/26)

On page 16 it says, "Just prior to Christ's second coming, God commands His church to proclaim the Elijah message again, and for people to turn away from their 'idols' and be ready to stand in the great day of the Lord." When is the last time your pastor preached on idol worship? What do you know about idol worship?

> "As I visit the homes of our people and our schools, I see that all the available space on tables, what-nots, and mantel pieces is filled up with photographs. On the right hand and on the left are seen the pictures of human faces. God desires this order of things to be changed. Were Christ on earth, He would say, 'Take these things hence.' I have been instructed that these pictures are so many idols, taking up the time and thought which should be sacredly devoted to God." *Messages to Young People* page 316.

> "A view of things was presented before me in which the students were playing games of tennis and cricket. Then I was given instruction regarding the character of these amusements. They were presented to me as a species of idolatry, like the idols of the nations. *Counsels to Parents, Teachers, and Students* page 350.

Hopefully it's not too hard to see the connection between tennis and cricket and such things as soccer, football, basketball and even television. Do those things have anything to do with the Elijah message?

> "The things you have described as taking place in Indiana, the Lord has shown me would take place just before the close of probation. Every uncouth thing will be demonstrated. There will be shouting, with drums, music and dancing. The senses of rational beings will become so confused that they cannot be trusted to make right decisions. And this is called the moving of the Holy Spirit." *Selected Messages Book Two* page 38.

Has any of this stuff occurred in your conference? If so, has there been any correction proposed? Is it part of the Elijah message?

You probably remember Elijah on Mt. Carmel and the controversy with Baal worshipers. How many Elijahs are mentioned in the Bible besides the Elijah of Mt. Carmel fame?

> "And there appeared unto him an angel of the Lord standing on the right side of the altar of incense. And when Zacharias saw him, he was troubled, and fear fell upon him. But the angel said unto him, Fear not, Zacharias: for thy prayer is heard; and thy wife Elizabeth shall bear thee a son, and thou shalt call his name John. And thou shalt have joy and gladness; and many shall rejoice at his birth. And many of the children of Israel shall he turn to the Lord their God. And he shall go before him in the spirit and power of Elias, to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children, and the disobedient to the wisdom of the just; to make ready a people prepared for the Lord." Luke 1:11-14, 16-17 (KJV).

> "And as they departed, Jesus began to say unto the multitudes concerning John, What went ye out into the wilderness to see? A reed shaken with the wind? But what went ye out for to see? A man clothed in soft raiment? behold, they that wear soft clothing are in kings' houses. But what went ye out for to see? A prophet? yea, I say unto you, and more than a prophet. For this is he, of whom it is written, Behold, I send my messenger before thy face, which shall prepare thy way before thee. Verily I say unto you, Among them that are born of women there hath not risen a greater than John the Baptist: notwithstanding he that is least in the kingdom of heaven is greater than he." Matthew 11:7-11 (KJV).

> "And Jesus answered and said unto them, Elias truly shall first come, and restore all things. But I say unto you, That Elias is come already, and they knew him not, but have done unto him whatsoever they listed. Likewise shall also the Son of man suffer of them. Then the disciples understood that he spake unto them of John the Baptist." Matthew 17:11-13 (KJV).

John the Baptist was Elijah number two. John had disciples who helped to spread his message but they were not John the Baptist nor were they Elijah.

Jesus said John was a prophet and "even more than a prophet" and He then said John was His "messenger." Remember the words "prophet" and "messenger."

> "Behold, the day of the LORD cometh, cruel both with wrath and fierce anger, to lay the land desolate: and he shall destroy the sinners thereof out of it." Isaiah 13:9 (KJV).

> "The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before the great and the terrible day of the LORD come." Joel 2:31 (KJV).

> "Behold, I will send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the Lord, whom ye seek, shall suddenly come to his temple, even the messenger of the covenant, whom ye delight in: behold, he shall come, saith the LORD of hosts. But who may abide the day of his coming? and who shall stand when he appeareth? for he is like a refiner's fire, and like fullers' soap: And he shall sit as a refiner and purifier of silver: and he shall purify the sons of Levi, and purge them as gold and silver, that they may offer unto the LORD an offering in righteousness. Then shall the offering of Judah and Jerusalem be pleasant unto the LORD, as in the days of old, and as in former years." Malachi 3:1-4 (KJV).

> "For, behold, the day cometh, that shall burn as an oven; and all the proud, yea, and all that do wickedly, shall be stubble: and the day that cometh shall burn them up, saith the Lord of hosts, that it shall leave them neither root nor branch. Behold, I will send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful day of the Lord: And he shall turn the heart of the fathers to the children, and the heart of the children to their fathers, lest I come and smite the earth with a curse." Malachi 4:1, 5-6 (KJV).

All sinners are not destroyed until Christ's second coming. The dark day and the moon red like blood occurred on May 19, 1780. The great and dreadful day of the Lord is Christ's second coming and not His first. At the second coming of Christ, cases are decided for heaven or the lake of fire and a messenger was to be sent in the spirit and power of Elijah before that.

> "Early in my youth I was asked several times, Are you a prophet? I have ever responded, I am the Lord's messenger. I know many have called me a prophet, but I have made no claim to this title. My Saviour declared me to be His messenger." *Selected Messages Book One* page 32.

The Saviour, that would be Jesus, said Sister White was his messenger.

> "'Be not afraid of man, for My shield shall protect you. It is not you that speaketh: It is the Lord that giveth the messages of warning and reproof. Never deviate from the truth *under any circumstances*. Give the light that I shall give you. The messages for these last days shall be written in books, and shall stand immortalized, to testify against those who have once rejoiced in the light, but who have been led to give it up because of the seductive influences of evil.' Why have I not claimed to be a prophet?—Because in these days many who boldly claim that they are prophets are a reproach to the cause of Christ; and because my work includes much more than the word 'prophet' signifies." *Ibid*., page 32.

> "Physically, I have always been as a broken vessel; and yet in my old age the Lord continues to move upon me by His Holy Spirit to write the most important books that have ever come before the churches and the world." *Ellen G. White Manuscript Releases 8* page 428 or manuscript 122, 1903.

See the part about "the most important books that have ever come before the churches and the world?" If you haven't done so, it would be well to read them. The third Elijah has come and given her message. God's end time remnant people can give the Elijah message but they are not the third Elijah.

On page 20 it says, "Ellen Harmon (White) received her first call in December 1844, a few weeks after Millerite Adventists had expected Jesus to return based on Daniel 8:14: *"Unto two thousand and three hundred days; then shall the sanctuary be cleansed."* Whoever wrote that at least had the sense to use the real Bible because the fake ones used in this SSBSG give a different message.

> "And he said to me, 'For two thousand three hundred days; then the sanctuary shall be cleansed.'" Daniel 8:14 (NKJV).
>
> "He said to me, 'It will take 2,300 evenings and mornings; then the sanctuary will be reconsecrated.'" Daniel 8:14 (NIV).
>
> "And he said to me, 'For 2,300 evenings and mornings. Then the sanctuary shall be restored to its rightful state.'" Daniel 8:14 (ESV).
>
> "And he said to me, 'For 2,300 evenings and mornings; then the sanctuary will be properly restored.'" Daniel 8:14 (NASB).
>
> "He said to me, 'For 2,300 evenings and mornings; then the sanctuary will be restored.'" Daniel 8:14 (CSB).
>
> "I heard the other angel answer, 'It will continue for 2,300 evenings and mornings, during which sacrifices will not be offered. Then the Temple will be restored.'" Daniel 8:14 (GNT).
>
> "The other answered, 'Over the course of 2,300 sacrifices, evening and morning. Then the Sanctuary will be set right again.'" Daniel 8:14 (TM).
>
> "And he said to him, 'For two thousand and three hundred evenings and mornings; then the sanctuary shall be restored to its rightful state.'" Daniel 8:14 (RSV).

This is a key text for Seventh-day Adventists and ALL of those "the Bible" except the real one are wrong. "Unto" in the real Bible means that something won't start until a certain time has been reached. To say "for" means something will go on **for** a certain time and will then be completed. A unique Seventh-day Adventist doctrine is that the heavenly day of atonement or investigative judgment or cleansing of the heavenly sanctuary won't start until the end of 2,300 days meaning

prophetically, 2,300 years. That period ended in 1844 at which time the investigative judgment started.

The NKJV isn't quite as bad as the other "the Bible." They all speak of 2,300 evening and morning sacrifices. Since each day had an evening and morning sacrifice, 2,300 evenings and mornings can be taken to mean 1,150 days. Yeah, really. And the rocket scientists have included quotations from those "the Bible" in a Seventh-day Adventist publication. Another message from those "the Bible" is that the temple in Jerusalem will be built again. Ain't gonna happen.

The GNT is also known as the Today's English Version (TEV) and I have three of them. The last copyright date on one was 1976, on another was 1979 and on a third 1992. Two of them were published for Roman Catholics complete with the Roman Catholic *imprimatur*. The Roman Catholic edition published in 1992 has "evenings and mornings" while the other two have:

> "I heard the other angel answer, 'It will continue for 1,150 days, during which evening and morning sacrifices will not be offered. Then the Temple will be restored.'" Daniel 8:14 (GNT).

The message in the GNT hasn't changed; it has just been worded differently.

LESSON 3. Old Testament Prophets (10/10/26 – 10/16/26)

On page 27, the prophet Micaiah was discussed who was counseled to lie to Ahab and Jehoshaphat and who rejected that counsel and gave the true message from God. Here's an example of a "the Bible" prophet who lies.

> "So Hazael went to meet him and took a present with him, of every good thing of Damascus, forty camel-loads; and he came and stood before him, and said, "Your son Ben-Hadad king of Syria has sent me to you, saying, 'Shall I recover from this disease?' And Elisha said to him, "Go, say to him, 'You shall certainly recover. However the LORD has shown me that he will really die.' Then he departed from Elisha, and came to his master, who said to him, 'What did Elisha say to you?' And he answered, 'He told me you would surely recover.' But it happened on the next day that he took a thick cloth and dipped it in water, and spread it over his face so that he died; and Hazael reigned in his place." 2 Kings 8:9-10, 14-15 (NKJV).

Elisha told Hazael to tell the one who sent him that he would "certainly recover" when the Lord had shown he that he would "really die." That's a lie.

> "So Hazael went to meet him, and took a present with him, even of every good thing of Damascus, forty camels' burden, and came and stood before him, and said, Thy son Ben–hadad king of Syria hath sent me to thee, saying, Shall I recover of this disease? And Elisha said unto him, Go, say unto him, Thou mayest certainly recover: howbeit the LORD hath shewed me that he shall surely die. So he departed from Elisha, and came to his master; who said to him, What said Elisha to thee? And he answered, He told me that thou shouldest surely recover. And it came to pass on the morrow, that he took a thick cloth, and dipped it in water, and spread it on his face, so that he died: and Hazael reigned in his stead." 2 Kings 8:9-10, 14-15 (KJV).

"Mayest certainly recover" means the one spoken of could recover but it doesn't mean he would recover so Elisha didn't lie in the real Bible.

Here's an example of where Jesus lied in a "the Bible."

> "You go up to the feast. I am not going up to this feast, for my time has not yet fully come.' After saying this, he remained in Galilee. But after his brothers had gone up to the feast, then he also went up, not publicly but in private." John 7:8-10 (ESV).

Here's that that from the real Bible.

> "Go ye up unto this feast: I go not up yet unto this feast; for my time is not yet full come. When he had said these words unto them, he abode still in Galilee. But when his brethren were gone up, then went he also up unto the feast, not openly, but as it were in secret." John 7:8-10 (KJV).

Since Jesus qualified His statement in the real Bible with "yet" He didn't lie.

> "God requires that truthfulness shall mark His people, even in the greatest peril." *Patriarchs and Prophets* page 656.

LESSON 4. New Testament Prophets (10/17/26 – 10/23/26)

At the bottom of page 32 it says, "The Bible is the only official record we have of the words of apostles and prophets about Jesus, His life, and works. What should this tell us about why the Bible must have final authority for what we believe about Jesus and His death for us?" Yes, what, pray tell should it tell us?

On page 35 it says, "Living by faith, putting on the 'breastplate of righteousness,' being girded in the 'truth,' along with surrendering to the Holy Spirit through prayer, and being grounded in His Word—these are the way we can be protected from all that would otherwise lead us spiritually and theologically astray." I'll say amen to that as long as it's the right "His Word."

> "Let us be glad, rejoice, and give him glory, because the marriage of the Lamb has come, and his bride has prepared herself. She was given fine linen to wear, bright and pure. For the fine linen represents the righteous acts of the saints." Revelation 19:7-8 (CSB).

> "Let us rejoice and exult and give him the glory, for the marriage of the Lamb has come, and his Bride has made herself ready; it was granted her to clothe herself with fine linen, bright and pure"-for the fine linen is the righteous deeds of the saints." Revelation 19:7-8 (ESV).

> "Let us rejoice and be glad; let us praise his greatness! For the time has come for the wedding of the Lamb, and his bride has prepared herself for it. She has been given clean shining linen to wear.' (The linen is the good deeds of God's people.)" Revelation 19:7-8 (GNT).

> "Let us rejoice and be glad and give him glory! For the wedding of the Lamb has come, and his bride has made herself ready. Fine linen, bright and clean, was given her to wear.' (Fine linen stands for the righteous acts of God's holy people.)" Revelation 19:7-8 (NIV).
>
> "Let us be glad and rejoice and give Him glory, for the marriage of the Lamb has come, and His wife has made herself ready.' And to her it was granted to be arrayed in fine linen, clean and bright, for the fine linen is the righteous acts of the saints." Revelation 19:7-8 (NKJV).
>
> "Let's rejoice and be glad and give the glory to Him, because the marriage of the Lamb has come, and His bride has prepared herself.' It was given to her to clothe herself in fine linen, bright and clean; for the fine linen is the righteous acts of the saints." Revelation 19:7-8 (NASB).
>
> "Let us celebrate, let us rejoice, let us give him the glory! The Marriage of the Lamb has come; his Wife has made herself ready. She was given a bridal gown of bright and shining linen. The linen is the righteousness of the saints." Revelation 19:7-8 (TM).
>
> "Let us rejoice and exult and give him the glory, for the marriage of the Lamb has come, and his Bride has made herself ready; it was granted her to be clothed with fine linen, bright and pure"- for the fine linen is the righteous deeds of the saints." Revelation 19:7-8 (RSV).
>
> "Let us be glad and rejoice, and give honour to him: for the marriage of the Lamb is come, and his wife hath made herself ready. And to her was granted that she should be arrayed in fine linen, clean and white: for the fine linen is the righteousness of saints." Revelation 19:7-8 (KJV).

Every one of those "the Bible" say the fine linen is the righteous deeds of the saints or something to that effect except TM and the KJV.

> "To the church it is given 'that she should be arrayed in fine linen, clean and white,' 'not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing.' Eph. 5:27. The fine linen, says the Scripture, 'is the righteousness of saints.' Rev. 19:8. It is the righteousness of Christ, His own unblemished character, that through faith is imparted to all who receive Him as their personal Saviour." *Christ's Object Lessons* page 310.

I once watched a live YouTube video where a native English-speaking minister employed by the Seventh-day Adventist Church was presiding. He was using the NKJV and was answering questions from the chat. In the chat I pointed out that his bible of choice taught righteousness by works in that passage. He paused and then said the verse didn't really mean that. Even more amazing is the fact that full-time employed purveyors of truth from The Ellen G. White Estate would be so clueless as to use multiple "the Bible" that contradict the Lord's Messenger and a key doctrine of the Seventh-day Adventist Church.

Before you get too excited about TM getting something right, read this from that "the Bible."

"I was in the Spirit on the Lord's day, and heard behind me a great voice, as of a trumpet," Revelation 1:10 (KJV).

"It was Sunday and I was in the Spirit, praying. I heard a loud voice behind me, trumpet-clear and piercing:'" Revelation 1:9-16 (TM).

There are, at least in the real Bible, two verses that define which day is the Lord's day.

"The Son of Man is no yes-man to the Sabbath. He's in charge!'" Mark 2:25-28 (TM).

"Therefore the Son of man is Lord also of the sabbath." Mark 2:28 (KJV).

"Then he said, "The Son of Man is no slave to the Sabbath; he's in charge.'" Luke 6:5 (TM).

"And he said unto them, That the Son of man is Lord also of the sabbath." Luke 6:5 (KJV).

TM essentially says that Jesus violated the Sabbath and that keeping the Sabbath means you're a slave. TM is an abomination. Jesus never did anything on the seventh-day Sabbath that we wouldn't be free to do and He as the Creator is also the Lord of that day.

In the teachers comments section on page 53 it says, "Revelation 19:10 declares that 'the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy,' making Christ the focus of all prophetic activity." That depends on which "the Bible" you're using.

LESSON 5. Revelation *and* Inspiration (10/24/26 – 10/30/26)

On page 40 it says, "we deem the Bible as the Word of God." A little further down on the page it says, "There is some discussion about the best translation of 2 Timothy 3:16, but most Bible translations render this passage in a similar way, emphasizing that the whole Bible is of divine origin *(2 Pet. 1:20, 21)*."

So, because most Bible translations render the passage in a similar way, how does the fact that some "the Bible" render it differently emphasize "that the whole Bible is of divine origin?" I've already given you multiple examples of how the "the Bible" used in this SSBSG contradict Seventh-day Adventist doctrine in a majority sort of way. I have to ask, what is wrong with the author's brain?

At the bottom of the page the question is asked, "why is it so important to trust the Bible as God's inspired Word, regardless of what we may not fully understand about how it was written?" Unless they can settle on one book as "the Bible" it's a stupid question.

On page 42 it says, "there are no 'degrees' of inspiration." Then at the bottom of the page it says, "the Bible" "carries an infallible message that will stand the test of time." "Infallible" means no error at all.

Here's something from a "the Bible" used in this SSBSG compared with what the real Bible says.

> "When people had spread all over the world, and daughters were being born, some of the heavenly beings saw that these young women were beautiful, so they took the ones they liked. In those days, and even later, there were giants on the earth who were descendants of human women and the heavenly beings. They were the great heroes and famous men of long ago." Genesis 6:1-2, 4 (GNT).

> "And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them, That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown." Genesis 6:1-2, 4 (KJV).

The GNT pretty clearly says that angels copulated with human women and produced offspring.

> "Jesus answered them, 'How wrong you are! It is because you don't know the Scriptures or God's power. For when the dead rise to life, they will be like the angels in heaven and will not marry.'" Matthew 22:29-30 (GNT).

> "Jesus answered and said unto them, Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God. For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven." Matthew 22:29-30 (KJV).

How about that. According to Jesus angels don't marry. Since they don't marry each other is it close to believable that they had children by human women? You can extricate yourself from this dilemma with the real Bible.

> "Those who are led by God's Spirit are God's children." Romans 8:14 (GNT).

> "For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God." Romans 8:14 (KJV).

Any degrees of inspiration there?

On page 68 of the teachers comments section it says, "While there are no degrees of inspiration, there are degrees of authority, and postcanonical prophets (such as Ellen G. White) do not have the same authority as the biblical canon." So, someone who is divinely inspired doesn't have the same authority as others whose writings were divinely inspired? The author is confused. How would something that is divinely inspired have less authority than something else that's divinely inspired?

Here's a favorite Seventh-day Adventist verse.

> "You are to answer them, 'Listen to what the LORD is teaching you! Don't listen to mediums-what they tell you cannot keep trouble away.'" Isaiah 8:20 (GNT).

Do you recognize it? How 'bout this one?

> "To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them." Isaiah 8:20 (KJV).

The first books of the canon were written by Moses. If the Holy Spirit was inspiring subsequent authors such as David, Hosea, Joel, etc., those parts of the canon couldn't contradict what had already been written by Moses but they were just as inspired as what was written by Moses and had just as much authority. Similarly, though Ellen G. White wrote no part of what is called the canon, she was just as inspired and had just as much authority as the ancient prophets.

LESSON 6. The Prophetic Writings (10/31/26 – 11/6/26)

At the bottom of page 47 it says, "How would you answer someone who says that we cannot trust the Bible because we do not have the original manuscripts?" Consider this from page xviii of the preface of the NKJV *Andrews Study Bible*.

> "In faithfulness to God and to our readers, it was deemed appropriate that all participating scholars sign a statement affirming their belief in the verbal and plenary inspiration of Scripture, and in the inerrancy of the original autographs."

Read that carefully two or three times and see if you understand it. Is it good? Here is the interpretation of that statement in easy-to-understand English from the *Oxford English Reference Dictionary*.

> **Autograph** – "a manuscript in an author's own handwriting."
> **Inspiration** – "a supposed force or influence on poets, artists, musicians, etc., stimulating creative activity, exalted thoughts, etc." and "similar divine influence supposed to have led to the writing of Scripture etc."
> **Plenary** – "entire, unqualified, absolute"
> **Verbal** – "oral, not written" and "a verbal statement, esp. one made to the police."

The princes of Andrews University, the flagship seminary of Seventh-day Adventist Church, signed a statement that means only a manuscript in the author's own handwriting can be considered to be inerrant, i.e., infallible, and that is what most Seventh-day Adventist ministers believe. So, how would you answer the question of someone who says "we cannot trust the Bible because we do not have the original manuscripts?"

In the next to the last sentence on page 48 it says, "Paul's letters were to be regarded as carrying the same weight as the prophets of old, because Paul gives clear evidence in his letters that he was inspired by the Holy Spirit *(see 1 Cor. 7:10, 12, 40)*." I agree and that's why Sister White's writings have the same weight and authority as that of the prophets of old.

A common criticism of Sister White is that she used literary assistants. Most of page 49 is devoted to debunking that claim as they should be debunked.

On page 49 it says Peter's native language was Aramaic. They don't know that. The Aramaic thing has been promoted by the Roman Catholic Church because that makes it easier to claim Peter was the first pope. They'd also like you to believe Jesus spoke Aramaic.

> "We all fell to the ground, and I heard a voice saying to me in Aramaic, 'Saul, Saul, why do you persecute me? It is hard for you to kick against the goads.'" Acts 26:14 (NIV).
>
> "We all fell down, and I heard a voice saying to me in Aramaic, 'Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting me? It is useless for you to fight against my will.'" Acts 26:14 (NLT).
>
> "We all fell to the ground, and I heard a voice speaking to me in Aramaic, 'Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting me? It is hard for you to kick against the goads.'" Acts 26:14 (CSB).
>
> "And when we were all fallen to the earth, I heard a voice speaking unto me, and saying in the Hebrew tongue, Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me? it is hard for thee to kick against the pricks." Acts 26:14 (KJV).

Some of the "the Bible" used in this SSBSG would like you to believe that after His ascension to Heaven, Jesus appeared and spoke Aramaic to Paul who was a well-educated member of the Sanhedrin who had HEBREW Scriptures and who spoke HEBREW. LOL.

Another common criticism of Sister White, mentioned on page 52, was that she plagiarized. There was NOTHING that she did that could be considered illegal or sinful. Just like the alleged problem of using literary assistants, those are alleged problems with Sister White's writings are used excuse to reject all allegedly problematic Seventh-day Adventist doctrines including keeping the seventh day Sabbath holy. The Sabbath commandment is plainly written in all bibles I've looked at. The only thing that has been done to it is that in some "the Bible" it's referred to as "a sabbath" as opposed to "the sabbath" attempting to put it on a level with ceremonial sabbaths.

On page 52 is a quotation from *Selected Messages* book 1 page 37 where Sister White says she never claimed infallibility. No, she never claimed infallibility but Moses, the various holy prophets and Apostles never claimed infallibility either.

On page 82 of the teachers comments section it says, "Scripture remains divinely guided, despite limitations in human memory, language, and historical records. Some discrepancies may result from variations in sources or later copyist errors, as seen in differences between 1 Chronicles 11:11 and 2 Samuel 23:8. Paul himself may have misstated a detail in 1 Corinthians 10:8 *(compare with Num. 25:9)*. Yet, such inconsistencies do not diminish the authority of Scripture. The essence of God's message remains intact, and, through study and faith, we can discern His truth beyond minor textual variations."

To that I would say, I have already given multiple examples of where the "the Bible" used in this SSBSG have a message that is far from God's intact message.

So, what's the problem with 1 Chronicles 11:11 and 2 Samuel 23:8?

> "These be the names of the mighty men whom David had: The Tachmonite that sat in the seat, chief among the captains; the same was Adino the Eznite: he lift up his spear against eight hundred, whom he slew at one time." 2 Samuel 23:8 (KJV).
>
> "And this is the number of the mighty men whom David had; Jashobeam, an Hachmonite, the chief of the captains: he lifted up his spear against three hundred slain by him at one time." 1 Chronicles 11:11 (KJV).

I can't see a problem in the real Bible. There were two different men. Adino the Eznite was "chief among the captains" meaning that in the group of the captains he was the chief one. Jashobeam was "the chief of the captains;" that is all of the captains including Adino the Eznite were under his leadership. If you're using one of the fake "the Bible" you could become confused.

Now for the passage in which "Paul himself may have misstated a detail."

> "And those that died in the plague were twenty and four thousand." Numbers 25:9 (KJV).
>
> "Neither let us commit fornication, as some of them committed, and fell in one day three and twenty thousand." 1 Corinthians 10:8 (KJV).

I understand; one verse says 24,000 and the other says 23,000. Rather than tucking his tail between his legs and saying Paul may have "misstated" a detail, why didn't the seminary trained paid purveyor of truth bother reading things like the verses surrounding those passages and maybe even the writings of Ellen G. White which this lesson is about? And just think, the teachers using this SSBSG are probably lazier than he is and so some will be teaching their trusting students that the Bible has mistakes. Here's a bit more of the 1 Corinthians reference.

> "Neither be ye idolaters, as were some of them; as it is written, The people sat down to eat and drink, and rose up to play. Neither let us commit fornication, as some of them committed, and fell in one day three and twenty thousand." 1 Corinthians 10:7-8 (KJV).

And here is more from Numbers.

> "And Israel abode in Shittim, and the people began to commit whoredom with the daughters of Moab. And they called the people unto the sacrifices of their gods: and the people did eat, and bowed down to their gods. And Moses said unto the judges of Israel, Slay ye every one his men that were joined unto Baal–peor. And, behold, one of the children of Israel came and brought unto his brethren a Midianitish woman in the sight of Moses, and in the sight of all the congregation of the children of Israel, who were weeping before the door of the tabernacle of the congregation. And when Phinehas, the son of Eleazar, the son of Aaron the priest, saw it, he rose up from among the congregation, and took a javelin in his hand; And he went after the man of Israel into the tent, and thrust both of them through, the man of Israel, and the woman through her belly. So the plague was stayed from the children of Israel. And those that died in the plague were twenty and four thousand." Numbers 25:1-2, 5-9 (KJV).

Agreed. One passage says 23,000 died because of committing "fornication" and the other says 24,000 died in a "plague."

How about this passage.

> "And Aaron said, Let not the anger of my lord wax hot: thou knowest the people, that they are set on mischief. For they said unto me, Make us gods, which shall go before us: for as for this Moses, the man that brought us up out of the land of Egypt, we wot not what is become of him. And I said unto them, Whosoever hath any gold, let them break it off. So they gave it me: then I cast it into the fire, and there came out this calf. And when Moses saw that the people were naked; (for Aaron had made them naked unto their shame among their enemies:) Then Moses stood in the gate of the camp, and said, Who is on the LORD'S side? let him come unto me. And all the sons of Levi gathered themselves together unto him. And he said unto them, Thus saith the LORD God of Israel, Put every man his sword by his side, and go in and out from gate to gate throughout the camp, and slay every man his brother, and every man his companion, and every man his neighbour. And the children of Levi did according to the word of Moses: and there fell of the people that day about three thousand men. And the LORD plagued the people, because they made the calf, which Aaron made." Exodus 32:22-28, 35 (KJV).

So, the Israelites worshiped an idol which can be called fornication. In addition some of them were "naked" which would imply that sexual sin also occurred. Here's part of a discussion about what happened in that rebellion.

> "In deep sadness the people had buried their dead. Three thousand had fallen by the sword; a plague had soon after broken out in the encampment; and now the message came to them that the divine Presence would no longer accompany them in their journeyings." *Patriarchs and Prophets* page 327.

Exodus doesn't say how many people died in the plague but apparently Paul did in 1 Corinthians.

If you're not happy with that, consider a couple of other things. I suspect that when the Bible says 23,000 it could have been 23,011 and when it says 24,000 it could have been 24,039. I would give the Bible no demerits for that. Also if 24,000 died then obviously 23,000 died. Work out the "problem" for yourself. I'm happy with my Bible and I'm not happy with the serious incontrovertible errors in the other "the Bible" used in this SSBSG.

On page 84 of the teachers comments section the question is asked, "How do you explain Ellen G. White's literary borrowing to someone who believes she plagiarized." That usually comes from someone who isn't happy with the counsel on coffee, meat eating, etc. The Seventh-day Adventist Church sought legal counsel on that issue and none of her "borrowing" meets the legal standard of plagiarism. Furthermore, if there was a problem with Sister White's "borrowing" I'm confident that God would have told her about it and she wouldn't have done it.

There is a *Steps to Christ* that has been publish by the Andrews University Press. In places a "the Bible" which didn't exist while Sister White was living, the NKJV, is used for quotations and there

is commentary by Denis J. H. Fortin, PhD, Professor of Historical Theology to help you understand what Sister White wrote. *Steps to Christ* is written in very easy ENGLISH and all you need is the ability of reading simple ENGLISH and the help of God's Spirit to help you understand it. You're better off without Denis.

Efforts to denigrate Sister White's message are subtle and common. Some of the princes of our church would like to be rid of her message but then they would be without the needed counsel to pay tithe in the Christian era. The Andrews University *Steps to Christ* was published in 2017 well after the S. D. A. Church had gone to the expense and trouble of hiring legal counsel about the nonissue of Sister White's "borrowing." On page 105 a footnote says, "In this chapter, this expression White uses to refer to Jacob's ladder is borrowed from Henry Melvill, *Sermons*, vol. I (New York: Stanford and Swords, 1844), 285." What was "borrowed" was, GASP, "mystic ladder."

On page 10 Denis wrote, "Hence, accusations of dishonesty and plagiarism have persistently been leveled at Ellen White. In today's context these concerns are valid and raise significant issues regarding the genuineness of her prophetic gift." Yeah, more faith building (sarcasm if you didn't know) about the mission of the Lord's Messenger.

I advise you to be very careful in accepting any theory emanating from Andrews University.

LESSON 7. Now Hear This (11/7/26 – 11/13/26)

For some reason the NASB was used for the memory text for this lesson. If you're going to memorize Scripture, why use a fake "the Bible?" Then after using the NASB for the memory text, the same verse was quoted two more times in this lesson using different "the Bible" versions. The second time was on page 61 and the last on page 94 of the teachers comments section.

> "Now these things happened to them as an example, and they were written for our instruction, upon whom the ends of the ages have come." 1 Corinthians 10:11 (NASB).
>
> "Now all these things happened to them as examples, and they were written for our admonition, upon whom the ends of the ages have come." 1 Corinthians 10:11 (NKJV).
>
> "These things happened to them as examples, and they were written for our instruction, on whom the ends of the ages have come." 1 Corinthians 10:11 (CSB).
>
> "Now all these things happened unto them for ensamples: and they are written for our admonition, upon whom the ends of the world are come." 1 Corinthians 10:11 (KJV).

If you know why they would do that, let me know. And no, they didn't use the KJV. Maybe it was thought "ensamples" was too hard to understand.

On page 97 of the teachers comments section the question is asked, "What resources can be used for informed and faith-affirming answers to the charges of Ellen G. White's critics?" In my opinion, it's more important to discuss the fact that the Seventh-day Adventist Church is one of

the very few Protestant churches that teach obedience to all Ten Commandments. If they're going to ignore any of God's commandments, it doesn't matter what they think about Ellen G. White.

LESSON 8. Interpreting Prophetic Writings (11/14/26 – 11/20/26)

The princes of the Seventh-day Adventist Church get very excited about telling you how to interpret "Scripture" (meaning whatever "the Bible" they have chosen for the occasion) and Sister White's writings. It's similar to the Roman Catholic Church that teaches that only that church has the right to interpret Scripture. You can find extensive advice about how to interpret Scripture on pages 106 and 107 of the teachers comments section written by someone who apparently doesn't know the real Bible from a fake one evidenced by their use of the ESV on those pages.

On page 109 of the teachers comments section it says, "Ellen G. White did not claim that every word she wrote was dictated by God; thus, she rejected the idea of verbal dictation." Neither did any of the other authors of the Bible ever claim everything they wrote was dictated by God.

There are other problems with this lesson but if you've read the commentary on the previous lessons, you should be able to tell what they are.

LESSON 9. Testing *the* Prophets (11/21/26 – 11/27/26)

On page 74 it says, "The Bible is always the final standard of any claim to inspiration. Prophets must be tested by comparing what they say with what the Bible says. A true prophet will never contradict, minimize, or draw attention away from biblical truth."

On page 75 it says, "The Holy Spirit-inspired prophetic word is God's spotlight, dispelling the darkness in us until Jesus, the Morning Star, dawns in our hearts, and the prophetic gift unites us with him and continually points us to Him." The teaching that Jesus is the Morning Star is found in this verse.

> "I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, and the bright and morning star." Revelation 22:16 (KJV).
>
> "'I, Jesus, have sent My angel to testify to you these things in the churches. I am the Root and the Offspring of David, the Bright and Morning Star.'" Revelation 22:16 (NKJV).
>
> "'I, Jesus, have sent My angel to testify to you these things for the churches. I am the root and the descendant of David, the bright morning star.'" Revelation 22:16 (NASB).
>
> "'I, Jesus, have sent my angel to testify to you about these things for the churches. I am the root and the descendant of David, the bright morning star.'" Revelation 22:16 (ESV).
>
> "'I, Jesus, have sent my angel to give you this testimony for the churches. I am the Root and the Offspring of David, and the bright Morning Star.'" Revelation 22:16 (NIV).

"'I, Jesus, have sent my angel to announce these things to you in the churches. I am descended from the family of David; I am the bright morning star.'" Revelation 22:16 (GNT).

"'I, Jesus, have sent my angel to attest these things to you for the churches. I am the Root and descendant of David, the bright morning star.'" Revelation 22:16 (CSB).

"'I, Jesus, sent my Angel to testify to these things for the churches. I'm the Root and Branch of David, the Bright Morning Star.'" Revelation 22:16 (TM).

"'I Jesus have sent my angel to you with this testimony for the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, the bright morning star.'" Revelation 22:16 (RSV).

All of the "the Bible" used in this SSBSG agree that Jesus is the morning star.

"How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!" Isaiah 14:12 (KJV).

"'How you are fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! How you are cut down to the ground, You who weakened the nations!" Isaiah 14:12 (NKJV).

"'How you have fallen from heaven, O star of the morning, son of the dawn! You have been cut down to the earth, You who have weakened the nations!" Isaiah 14:12 (NASB).

"'How you are fallen from heaven, O Day Star, son of Dawn! How you are cut down to the ground, you who laid the nations low!" Isaiah 14:12 (ESV).

"'How you have fallen from heaven, morning star, son of the dawn! You have been cast down to the earth, you who once laid low the nations!" Isaiah 14:12 (NIV).

"King of Babylon, bright morning star, you have fallen from heaven! In the past you conquered nations, but now you have been thrown to the ground." Isaiah 14:12 (GNT).

"Shining morning star, how you have fallen from the heavens! You destroyer of nations, you have been cut down to the ground." Isaiah 14:12 (CSB).

"'How you are fallen from heaven, O Day Star, son of Dawn! How you are cut down to the ground, you who laid the nations low!" Isaiah 14:12 (RSV).

"What a comedown this, O Babylon! Daystar! Son of Dawn! Flat on your face in the underworld mud, you, famous for flattening nations!" Isaiah 14:12 (TM).

The KJV and the NKJV say that "Lucifer" was cut down. The other "the Bible" don't have "Lucifer" anywhere in them. In addition, all of the "the Bible" except the KJV and NKJV can be used to teach that Revelation 22:16 is talking about someone who has fallen and done bad things to the nations.

Daystar or morning star is the sun. The sun is the star that comes up in the east every morning. Here are a couple of other verses impacted by the error in Isaiah 14:12 in the counterfeit bibles.

> "But unto you that fear my name shall the Sun of righteousness arise with healing in his wings; and ye shall go forth, and grow up as calves of the stall." Malachi 4:2 (KJV).

> "And I will give him the morning star." Revelation 2:28 (KJV).

Then there is the problem with something written by the Lord's Messenger if there's no "Lucifer" in the Bible.

> "Lucifer, 'son of the morning,' was first of the covering cherubs, holy and undefiled." *Patriarchs and Prophets* page 35.

LESSON 10. Prophetic Authority *and* Relevance (11/28/26 – 12/4/26)

On page 83 it says, "The Bible is the gold standard of truth, the authority by which we must make life choices." That is indeed what position "the Bible" should hold. I have already given you multiple examples where the "the Bible" that are mostly used in this quarterly lie.

On page 133 of the teachers comments section it says, "Unlike noncanonical prophets, canonical writings have no limits in scope or function. They serve as God's infallible revelation for all humanity." If God reveals truth to a noncanonical prophet, it is also part of God's infallible revelation to humanity.

Shortly after that quotation it says, "Revelation 22:18, 19 warns against adding to or removing from God's Word, confirming the canon's finality." Here's some stuff from the second most used "the Bible" in this quarterly compared to the real Bible.

> "_____" Matthew 17:21 (ESV).

> "Howbeit this kind goeth not out but by prayer and fasting." Matthew 17:21 (KJV).

> "_____" Matthew 18:11 (ESV).

> "For the Son of man is come to save that which was lost." Matthew 18:11 (KJV).

> "_____" Matthew 23:14 (ESV).

> "Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye devour widows' houses, and for a pretence make long prayer: therefore ye shall receive the greater damnation." Matthew 23:14 (KJV).

> "_____" Mark 7:16 (ESV).

> "If any man have ears to hear, let him hear." Mark 7:16 KJV

"_____" Mark 9:44 (ESV).

"Where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched." Mark 9:44 (KJV).

"_____" Mark 11:26 (ESV).

"But if ye do not forgive, neither will your Father which is in heaven forgive your trespasses." Mark 11:26 (KJV).

"_____" Mark 15:28 (ESV).

"And the scripture was fulfilled, which saith, And he was numbered with the transgressors." Mark 15:28 (KJV).

"_____" Luke 23:17 (ESV).

"(For of necessity he must release one unto them at the feast.)" Luke 23:17 (KJV).

"_____" John 5:4 (ESV).

"For an angel went down at a certain season into the pool, and troubled the water: whosoever then first after the troubling of the water stepped in was made whole of whatsoever disease he had." John 5:4 (KJV).

"_____" Acts 8:37 (ESV).

"And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God." Acts 8:37 (KJV).

Yes, all those verses are missing in the ESV and that doesn't count the places passages have been mutilated.

A little further down the page it says, "Ellen G. White functioned as a postcanonical manifestation of the prophetic gift and is, therefore, subordinate to the Bible." That's from people who don't know what "the Bible' is. The Holy Spirit, the One who has inspired ALL of God's prophets, isn't going to inspire a true prophet to contradict anything a previous true prophet has said. Ellen White was subordinate to what was written by Moses just like the Apostle John was. If you think you can take what was written by Ellen White less seriously just because she was born after the canon was written, you are on dangerous ground.

On page 135 of the teachers comments section it says, "The Bible is God's voice speaking to us, just as surely as though we could hear it with our ears." That is not true of the majority of the "the Bible" used in this SSBSG.

LESSON 11. Prophetic Manifestation *in* Crucial Moments (12/5/26 – 12/11/26)

On page 147 of the teachers comments section it says, "Malachi, the final Old Testament prophet, condemned spiritual apathy *(Mal. 1:6-10; Mal. 3:8, 9)* and foretold the coming of 'Elijah,' fulfilled in the ministry of John the Baptist *(Mal. 4:5, 6; Matt. 11:14)*." I agree, Malachi condemned spiritual apathy but it was much worse than that; it was outright apostasy spoken of in Malachi 1:6-10. Malachi 3:8-9 is a favorite passage of S.D.A. officialdom they use to teach that all tithe must go through the officially recognized channels of the General Conference. Here is the WHOLE Watson letter they love to quote just parts of.

> "My brother, I wish to say to you, Be careful how you move. You are not moving wisely. The least you have to speak about the tithe that has been appropriated to the most needy and the most discouraging field in the world, the more sensible you will be.
>
> It has been presented to me for years that my tithe was to be appropriated by myself to aid the white and colored ministers who were neglected and did not receive sufficient, properly to support their families. When my attention was called to aged ministers, white or black, it was my special duty to investigate into their necessities and supply their needs. This was to be my special work, and I have done this in a number of cases. No man should give notoriety to the fact that in special cases tithe is used in that way.
>
> In regard to the colored work in the South, that field has been and is still being robbed of the means that should come to the workers in that field. If there have been cases where our sisters have appropriated their tithe to the support of the ministers working for the colored people in the South, let every man, if he is wise, hold his peace.
>
> I have myself appropriated my tithe to the most needy cases brought to my notice. I have been instructed to do this; and as the money is not withheld from the Lord's treasury, it is not a matter that should be commented upon, for it will necessitate m making known these matters, which I do not desire to do, because it is not best.
>
> Some cases have been kept before me for years, and I have supplied their needs from the tithe, as God has instructed me to do. And if any person shall say to me, Sister White, will you appropriate my tithe where you know it is most needed, I shall say, Yes, I will; and I have done so. I commend those sisters who have placed their tithe where it is most needed to help do a work that is being left undone, and if this matter is given publicity, it will create a knowledge which would better be left as it is. I do not care to give publicity to this work which the Lord has appointed me to do, and others to do.
>
> I send this matter to you so that you shall not make a mistake. Circumstances alter cases. I would not advise that anyone should make a practice of gathering up tithe money. But for years there have now and then been persons who have lost confidence in the appropriation of the tithe who have placed their tithe in my hands, and said that if I did not take it they would themselves appropriate it to the families of the most needy ministers they could find. I have taken the money, given a receipt for it, and told them how it was appropriated.
>
> I write this to you so that you shall keep cool and not become stirred up and give publicity to this matter, lest many more shall follow their example.—Letter 267, 1905."

Notice that Sister White, who a lot of this quarterly is about, did not consider only the official channels the Lord's "treasury" and she commended some ladies who weren't prophets for their making similar use of their "tithe." I wrote a whole sermon review on this particular subject at

www.AdventistsToday.com/MarkHoward4.pdf you're welcome to read. There's another sermon review of a sermon on a similar subject at www.AdventistsToday.com/Finly7.pdf.

You need to understand that I believe in paying tithe and I started to do so probably around age 8.

Here's Malachi 4:5-6 and some other related passages. If you have one, and you should, get out the real Bible and the exhaustive concordance you have for it and read all twenty-three verses that have the phrase "day of the Lord." A very useful app I've put on my computers can be found at https://king-james-pure-bible-search.software.informer.com.

> "Behold, I will send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful day of the LORD: And he shall turn the heart of the fathers to the children, and the heart of the children to their fathers, lest I come and smite the earth with a curse." Malachi 4:5-6 (KJV).

> "Alas for the day! for the day of the LORD is at hand, and as a destruction from the Almighty shall it come." Joel 1:15 (KJV).

> "The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before that great and notable day of the Lord come:" Acts 2:20 (KJV).

> "But the day of the Lord will come as a thief in the night; in the which the heavens shall pass away with a great noise, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat, the earth also and the works that are therein shall be burned up." 2 Peter 3:10 (KJV).

John the Baptist was a foretold Elijah that was born before and started his public ministry before Jesus Christ did. Jesus Christ's first advent was NOT "the day of the Lord" spoken of in the aforementioned passages. Those passages are speaking of another Elijah that was to come after Christ's first coming and before His second coming. That prophecy has been fulfilled by the ministry of Ellen G. White.

Yes, I know, certain well-known princes of our church have said that the Elijah coming before Christ's second advent are His people giving the Elijah message. I agree; they are giving the Elijah message but they are not the foretold third Elijah.

LESSON 12. End-Time Prophetic Revelations (12/12/26 – 12/18/26)

Joel 2:31 is quoted in two places in this lesson. The first time (twice on the same page) is on page 97.

> "The sun shall be turned into darkness, And the moon into blood, Before the coming of the great and awesome day of the LORD." Joel 2:31 (NKJV).

Then on page 158 of the teachers comments section.

> "The sun shall be turned to darkness, and the moon to blood, before the great and awesome day of the LORD comes." Joel 2:31 (ESV).

And here it is from the real Bible that wasn't used.

> "The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before the great and the terrible day of the LORD come." Joel 2:31 (KJV).

> "May 19, 1780, stands in history as 'The Dark Day.' Since the time of Moses no period of darkness of equal density, extent, and duration, has ever been recorded. The description of this event, as given by eyewitnesses, is but an echo of the words of the Lord, recorded by the prophet Joel, twenty-five hundred years previous to their fulfillment: 'The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before the great and terrible day of the Lord come.' Joel 2:31." *The Great Controversy* page 308.

> "It is often the case that trouble is greater in anticipation than in reality; but this is not true of the crisis before us. The most vivid presentation cannot reach the magnitude of the ordeal. In that time of trial every soul must stand for himself before God." *Ibid.*, page 622.

> "They themselves begin to fear that the Lord has left them to fall by the hand of their enemies. It is a time of fearful agony." *Ibid.*, page 630.

So, what fits best, "awesome day of the LORD" or "terrible day of the Lord?"

At the top of page 98 it says, "Acts 2:1-21. Why did Peter quote Joel in the context of Christ's first coming? What role does prophecy play in this passage?"

> "But this is that which was spoken by the prophet Joel; And it shall come to pass in the last days, saith God, I will pour out of my Spirit upon all flesh: and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, and your young men shall see visions, and your old men shall dream dreams: And on my servants and on my handmaidens I will pour out in those days of my Spirit; and they shall prophesy: And I will shew wonders in heaven above, and signs in the earth beneath; blood, and fire, and vapour of smoke: The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before that great and notable day of the Lord come: And it shall come to pass, that whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be saved." Acts 2:16-21 (KJV).

NONE of that passage is "in the context of Christ's first coming." He had already come and Peter was speaking about what would happen after His first coming and before His second coming.

Toward the bottom of page 99 it says that "God does not contradict Himself *(Isa. 8:20)*." Let's pretend that my "the Bible" is an RSV passed on to me by my deceased father. Surely since it's one of the "the Bible" used in the teachers comments section of this lesson in the SSBSG it should be a good one to use, right?

> "To the teaching and to the testimony! Surely for this word which they speak there is no dawn." Isaiah 8:20 (RSV).

Do you understand that? Do you even recognize it?

When I was quite young, I asked my father about a bible he was using (the RSV) and he said it was a better translation. He had learned that from some of the very best at that time in the Seventh-day Adventist Church. In fact, his masters degree thesis from Pacific Union College was titled *Manual for the Teacher of Biblical Languages* which I have on my shelf. He then for a time decided to study ancient biblical languages at the University of Chicago. For some reason that I don't know, he seemed to stop using that bible in favor of the KJV. At least for a time he had been deceived by the illuminati of our church who may also have been deceived by the scholarly types.

We've covered this verse before but here it is again from the real Bible.

> "To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them." Isaiah 8:20 (KJV).

At the bottom of page 99 it says, "Satan always attempts to counterfeit the divine." I agree with that. The authors of this SSBSG are apparently unaware of the fact Satan has counterfeited most of their "the Bible." A counterfeit is a fake.

On page 100 it says, "Some Bible translations interpret the 'testimony of Jesus' to mean that we as believers confess Jesus and make Him known through our mission and evangelism *(see Rev. 19:10 NIV:* 'hold to the testimony of Jesus,' Jesus being the object of Christian witnessing). Other Bible versions give a different meaning, stating that Jesus Himself gives a testimony to His church *(Rev. 19:10, GNT:* 'the truth that Jesus revealed'—Jesus is the subject who bears the testimony). In fact, the original Greek text can be rendered either way. So what did John mean?"

You can avoid all of that stupidity and confusion by reading the real Bible and the inspired writings of the Lord's Messenger, Ellen G. White, who didn't know Greek or Hebrew.

On page 102 it says, "the most effective way of making Ellen G. White irrelevant it to disconnect the present generation from reading her writings for themselves." I submit that the most effective way of making her irrelevant is to pretend you have to know Greek and Hebrew to maybe know what God said and use the "the Bible" that have errors and contradict plain Seventh-day Adventist doctrine and things written by the Lord's Messenger.

Here's another quotation from a blowhard on page 159 of the teachers comments section. "Through meticulous examination of these passages in their original Greek context, Seventh-day Adventist scholarship has confirmed that the 'testimony of Jesus' indicates the manifestation of the prophetic gift within the end-time remnant." Actually you can easily confirm that the testimony of Jesus indicates the remnant church will have the prophetic gift just by using the real ENGLISH Bible, Sister White's writings and without knowing any Greek or Hebrew at all.

LESSON 13. Blessings From *the* Prophetic Word (12/19/26 – 12/25/26)

On page 107 it says, "How could a faith that started with Jesus Christ have mushroomed into so many different systems of belief? The answer lies in the many different interpretations of Scripture developed over time." To that I would say some of it comes from mentally challenged people who

are native speakers of English who don't understand English and edit the Bible because they, who are even less conversant in Greek and Hebrew, think they can finally know what God said if they just do a bit more research into what the Greek and Hebrew say.

A little later it says, "Satan mixes just enough truth with error in order to pull men and women under his control." No kidding. Here's an example of a confused Seventh-day Adventist scholar who allegedly knows English and Greek and who is trying to tell you what God says.

> "For Christ also suffered once for sins, the righteous for the unrighteous, to bring you to God. He was put to death in the body but made alive in the Spirit. After being made alive, he went and made proclamation to the imprisoned spirits—to those who were disobedient long ago when God waited patiently in the days of Noah while the ark was being built. In it only a few people, eight in all, were saved through water," 1 Peter 3:18-20 from the New International Version *Andrews Study Bible*.

Read the passage CAREFULLY. It says Christ was put to death "in the body" but was then "made alive in the Sprit." After His "Spirit" was made alive His Spirit spoke to the spirits of those who had died in the flood. In ENGLISH that means the spirits of those whose bodies died in the flood were conscious and able to listen to Jesus' Spirit talking to them.

> "For Christ also hath once suffered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God, being put to death in the flesh, but quickened by the Spirit: By which also he went and preached unto the spirits in prison; Which sometime were disobedient, when once the longsuffering of God waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was a preparing, wherein few, that is, eight souls were saved by water." 1 Peter 3:18-20 (KJV).

The real Bible says Christ was put to death in the flesh but quickened (made alive) "by the Spirit" instead of "in the Spirit." He was made alive by the Holy Spirit and it was by the Holy Spirit that the antediluvians were preached to through Noah.

> "And spared not the old world, but saved Noah the eighth person, a preacher of righteousness, bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungodly;" 2 Peter 2:5 (KJV).

Here are parts of the footnotes for 1 Peter 3:18-20 that were composed by an Andrews University professor who allegedly knows Greek and English and published in their study bible that's allegedly composed of "Light. Depth. Truth."

> "The contrast between body and spirit does not refer to material and immaterial aspects of Jesus' person (e.g., 'body' vs 'soul'). Jesus was raised with a body (Lk 24:36-43)."

Actually the "body" is material and the "spirit" is an immaterial part humans have that could also be called mental processes. Feel free to check that out with an English dictionary. The rocket scientist at Andrews University apparently didn't know that but you can know that.

> "Christ spoke by His Spirit through Noah, proclaiming the message of salvation. This passage cannot be used to teach that between His crucifixion and His resurrection Christ went and preached to the immortal souls of the people of Noah's time."

It's true that Christ spoke "by" his Spirit through Noah as the KJV says but Andrews University's fake "the Bible" doesn't say that. It makes a distinction between "body" and "Spirit" and says Jesus was made alive "in the Spirit" after which He spoke to the "imprisoned spirits" of the wicked people who had died in flood.

If you will go to the web site listed below about Adventist Death Confusion, you will be able to learn what the Bible says in English about death with no Greek or Hebrew needed. If you prefer something written, I have a tract for sale on eBay titled *Seventh-day Adventist Death Confusion* that contains the same information.

---

www.SatanIsDead.com
www.InfallibleBible.com
www.DeathConfusion.com
www.AdventistsToday.com
www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com
www.AdventistDeathConfusion.com